

Exercises for “Lattice-based cryptography: Episode V: the ring strikes back” (Daniel J. Bernstein; joint work with Tanja Lange)

Fix an integer $n \geq 0$. Define R as the ring \mathbf{Z}^n . The elements of R are vectors (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) with $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n \in \mathbf{Z}$. Addition and multiplication in R are componentwise: e.g., $(3, 5) \cdot (7, 11) = (21, 55)$ for $n = 2$.

Exercise 1. Fix integers c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n . Show that the following set is an ideal of R : $\{(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) : v_1 \in c_1\mathbf{Z}, v_2 \in c_2\mathbf{Z}, \dots, v_n \in c_n\mathbf{Z}\}$.

Exercise 2. Show that every ideal of R can be expressed in this way.

Exercise 3. Fix a real number $\gamma \geq 1$. The γ -approximate shortest-vector problem for R , abbreviated $R\text{-SVP}_\gamma$, is the following problem.

You are given elements $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n \in R$, not all zero. Define I as the ideal $r_1R + r_2R + \dots + r_nR$. Your task is to find a nonzero vector in I whose length is at most γ times the length of the shortest nonzero vector in I .

Explain how to efficiently solve $R\text{-SVP}_\gamma$. Solve it for $n = 2$, $\gamma = 1$, $r_1 = (314, 159)$, $r_2 = (271, 828)$.

Exercise 4. Fix an integer $q > 0$. Fix a distribution χ on \mathbf{Z} : e.g., choosing $i \in \mathbf{Z}$ with chance proportional to $\exp(-i^2/n)$. The learning-with- χ -errors problem for R modulo q , abbreviated $R/q\text{-LWE}_\chi$, is the following problem.

There are random elements $s, r_1, e_1, r_2, e_2, r_3, e_3, \dots \in R$, with all entries chosen independently. Each entry of s, r_1, r_2, r_3, \dots is chosen uniformly from $\{0, 1, \dots, q-1\}$. Each entry of e_1, e_2, e_3, \dots is chosen from χ .

You are given $r_1; sr_1 + e_1 \bmod q; r_2; sr_2 + e_2 \bmod q; r_3; sr_3 + e_3 \bmod q$; etc. Here “ $\bmod q$ ” means that each entry is reduced modulo q to the range $\{0, 1, \dots, q-1\}$; and “ $a + b \bmod q$ ” means $(a + b) \bmod q$, not $a + (b \bmod q)$. Your task is to find s .

Show that $R/q\text{-LWE}_\chi$ is “provably secure”: specifically, prove that any attack A against $R/q\text{-LWE}_\chi$ implies an attack A' against $R\text{-SVP}_\gamma$ where the time and success probability of A' are at most polynomially worse than the time and success probability of A . (Hint: Use the previous exercise.)

Exercise 5. Explain how to efficiently solve $R/q\text{-LWE}_\chi$.

Exercise 6. Literature review: Figure out why this type of “security proof” is often claimed to be an indication of security, rather than an indication of insecurity. Identify weaknesses in the underlying arguments.